# **Common Memory Errors**



C++ Object Oriented Programming
Pei-yih Ting
NTOU CS

0 0 0 0 0 0

# Main Categories of Errors

- Memory leakage
  - allocate, allocate, allocate .... without free
- Unallocated memory
  - use memory without preparation
- ♦ Memory corruption
  - underrun / overrun your buffer, runaway pointer
- ♦ Illegal access

use memory after you free it, runaway (wild) pointer, null pointer access

Early Versions of Microsoft Windows System/ Tools are good examples, you blame the M\$ company for it, but you are following suit unconsciously

### Your First Memory Trap in C

- Passing an arbitrary integer as the address

```
int x=0;
....
scanf("%d", x);
```

- ★ Often cause illegal memory access, fortunately, abort the program execution on the spot
- \* Sometimes, unfortunately, this error does not halt the program right at this line ....
- \* Should be scanf("%d", &x);

#### Where is the address?

Cause memory leakages, some of your virtual memory will not be used by your process anymore? Your program is going to crash someday for insufficient resources. Don't blame the system for it!

### Use Memory W/O Allocation

- ♦ Oh! Make sure the chair is in place before you sit down!!
- \$ Case 1: reading something out of the air
  char \*msg;
  printf("%s\n", msg); // printing something, but WHAT is it?
- ♦ Case 2: writing something into the air

char \*buffer; strcpy(buffer, "some data"); // where do you think you copy to scanf("%s", buffer); // where do you think you read into

\$ Case 2':
 int \*ptr;
 \*ptr = 10;

5

### Use Memory W/O Allocation

- ♦ Sometimes CAUSE
  - \* Illegal memory access
  - \* Unexpected (but legal) memory content changes
    - ★ Wild pointers: your code might overwrite some useful data in the program (maintained by yourself or by your teammate)
- ♦ They are all RUN TIME errors. Most troublesome, they are not necessarily halting on each execution or on a specific line of code

6

#### Overrun The Buffer

- ♦ The notorious BUFFER OVERFLOW attacks:
  - ∗ created daily, casually by numerous naïve, benign programmers
  - \* Do NOT think that you ruin at most your program only!!

    If your program is privileged, you open your system up!!

```
char *buf;
buf = (char *) malloc(5*sizeof(char));
strcpy(buf,"abcde");
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{int data[1000], i;} \\ \text{for (i=0; i<=1000; i++)} \\ \text{data[i] = i;} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{althou} \\ \text{these} \end{array}
```

although still not harmful in these two example cases.

You must have destroy something useful in the memory!!

#### **CERT Advisories**

- http://www.cert.org/advisories
- ♦ Starting from 1988, **Buffer Overflow** vulnerabilities are the most common break-in courses.
- ♦ 2003 Jan-Mar: 7/13 advisories are about Buffer Overflow
  - \* CA-2003-12 :Buffer Overflow in Sendmail Mar 29 2003
  - \* CA-2003-10 :Integer overflow in Sun RPC XDR library routines Mar 19 2003
  - \* CA-2003-09 :Buffer Overflow in Core Microsoft Windows DLL Updated Mar 19 2003
  - \* CA-2003-07 :Remote Buffer Overflow in Sendmail Mar. 3, 2003
  - \* CA-2003-04 :MS-SQL Server Worm(SQL Slammer) Jan 25 2003
  - \* CA-2003-03 :Buffer Overflow in Windows Locator Service Jan 23 2003
  - \* CA-2003-01 :Buffer Overflows in ISC DHCPD Minires Library
    Jan 15 2003

## Example: Changing the control flow

```
SYSTEM
  ♦ What is the output of the following program?
                                                              STACK
         void function(int a, int b, int c) {
                                                  HIMEM
                                                                 3
            char buffer[5]:
                                                      &с
                                                                 2
            int *ret:
                                                      &b
                                                                 1
            ret = buffer + 28;
                                                      &a
                                                              retaddr
            (*ret) += 10;
                                              (buffer+28)
                                                              base ptr
                         tampering statement
                                              (buffer+24)
         int main() {
           int x;
                                                   buffer
           x = 0:
                                                              buffer+28
                                                  int* ret
           function(1,2,3);
retaddr
           x = 1:
           printf("x = %d\n",x); // unmodified by x=1;!!
retaddr+10
           return 0;
                                 Output: x = 0
```

## Example: modified function pointer

10

12

#### **Buffer Overflow Attack**

```
♦ Cause the program to jump to somewhere?
                                                               SYSTEM
                                                                STACK
         void function(int a, int b, int c) {
                                                   HIMEM
            char buffer[5];
                                                                   3
                                                       &c
            inetts*(ited) ffebru iffer + 28; (*ret) += 10;
                                                                   2
                                                       &b
                                                       &a
                         Problematic statement
                                                                retaddr
         int main()
                                               (buffer+28)
                                                                base ptr
            int x:
                                               (buffer+24)
            x = 0:
            function(1,2,3);
retaddr
                                                    buffer
            \mathbf{x} = 1:
            printf("x = \%d\n",x); // unmodified by x=1;!!
retaddr+10
            return 0;
  ♦ What happened if the destination has a segment of
```

11

malicious code!!!

### Unsafe functions in C library

```
    strcpy(char *dest, const char *src);
    strcat(char *dest, const char *src);
    getwd(char *buf);
    gets(char *s);
    fscanf(FILE *stream, const char *format, ...);
    scanf(const char *format, ...);
    sscanf(char *str, const char *format, ...);
    realpath(char *path, char resolved_path[]);
    sprintf(char *str, const char *format, ...);
    syslog
    getopt
```

### String Operations Without '\0'


#### Underrun The Buffer

13

### Probe into the Memory

```
\diamond \ \ Using \ compiler \ listing \ to \ see \ the \ memory \ layout
```

```
// cl /FAs /FatestBuf.asm testBuf.c
#include <stdio.h>
void main()
                         x=10
                         &x=0012FF7C &y=0012FF78 &y[2]=0012FF7A
                         00 00 00 00 0a 00 00 00
  int x;
                         00 00 14 00 00 00 00 00
  char v[4];
                         x=0 20
  scanf("%d", &x);
  printf("x = \% d \mid n", x);
  printf("&x=%p &y=%p &y[2]=%p\n", &x, y, &y[2]);
  printf("%02x %02x %02x %02x %02x %02x %02x %02x\n".
         y[0],y[1],y[2],y[3],y[4],y[5],y[6],y[7]);
  scanf("%d", &y[2]);
  printf("%02x %02x %02x %02x %02x %02x %02x %02x\n",
         y[0],y[1],y[2],y[3],y[4],y[5],y[6],y[7]);
  printf("x = \%d \%d n", x, *((int *)\&y[2]));
                                                               15
```



14

# Compiler Assembly Listing

```
$SG772
                  '%d', 00H
            DB
$SG776
            DB
                  '%d', 00H
x\$ = -4
_{y} = -8
      eax, DWORD PTR _x$[ebp]
lea
push
      eax
                                     scanf("%d", &x);
     OFFSET FLAT:$SG772
push
call
      scanf
      ecx, DWORD PTR _y$[ebp+2]
lea
push
      ecx
                                    scanf("%d", &y[2]);
      OFFSET FLAT:$SG776
push
      _scanf
call
                                                          17
```

### Free Buffer Twice

♦ Cause runtime memory management internal error

```
char *buf;
buf = (char *) malloc(5*sizeof(char));
free(buf);
...
free(buf);

char *buf;
buf = new char[200];
delete[] buf;
...
delete[] buf;
```

18

### Illegal Free

♦ Free an address not previously allocated:

```
char *buf, *ptr;
buf = (char *) malloc(5*sizeof(char));
ptr = buf; ... ptr++; ... ptr--; ... ptr++; ...
free(ptr);
```

♦ Free an automatic variable, a static variable, or a global variable:

```
char *ptr, array[100];
...
ptr = array;
free(ptr);
```

# Illegal Free (cont'd)

♦ Free null pointer:

```
char *buf=0;
free(buf);
```

♦ Free a character string constant

```
char *buf;
buf = (char *) malloc(6*sizeof(char));
...
buf = "hello";
...
free(buf); // buf now contains the address of the string constant
```

### Assess Freed Memory

```
♦ Case 1:
       char *buf:
       buf = (char *) malloc(5*sizeof(char));
       free(buf);
       strcpy(buf, "memory bomb");
♦ Case 2:
       char *fun() {
                                      char *dataPtr, buf[20];
                                      dataPtr = func();
         char *ptr, buf[10];
                                      strcpy(buf, dataPtr);
         ptr = buf;
         return ptr;
                                      strcpy(dataPtr, buf);
♦ it is a common practice to forget
                                                 free(ptr);
  any freed pointer contents
                                                  ptr = 0:
                                                                     21
```

### **Dangling Pointers**

- ♦ You might think that you would never commit the stupid errors in the previous slide.
- Modified case 1:
   char \*buf, \*buf2;
   buf = (char \*) malloc(5\*sizeof(char));
   buf2 = buf; // save the pointer somewhere else
   ...
   free(buf);
   ...
   strcpy(buf2, "memory bomb through the dangling pointer");
  }

22

#### Pointer Arithmetic Error

```
int (*ptr)[10], buf[20][10];
ptr = buf;
*(int *)(ptr + 199*sizeof(int)) = 20; // Is it buf[19][9]?
// should be ptr[19][9] = 20;
// or *((int *)(ptr + 19) + 9) = 20;
// or *((int *)ptr + 199) = 20;
```

Careless pointer arithmetic produces wild pointer

#### Stack Overrun

\* Compiler would generate the code and hope that your system have this number of virtual memory allocated as the runtime stack

```
2000*2000*8 = 32 \text{ M bytes}
```

\* Visual C++ uses 1 M bytes stack as default, you can use /F2000000 to set the stack size as 2000000 bytes

#### Stack Overrun

```
♦ Case 2: deep recursive function call
   void bizarrePrint(int n, int buf[]){
      int localBuf[1000];
                                               int i:
      int i, pivot;
                                              int buf[2000];
      if (n == 1){
                                              for (i=0; i<2000; i++)
        printDigit(n, buf);
                                                 buf[i] = i;
        return;
                                              bizarrePrint(2000, buf);
      else {
        for (i=0; i<5; i++) {
           pivot = n*i/5;
           copyDigit(localbuf, n/5, &buf[pivot]);
           bizarrePrint(n-1, localbuf);
                                  2000 * 1000 * 4 = 8 M bytes
                                                                        25
```

### **Unchecked Memory Allocation**

ptr = (int \*) malloc(n\*sizeof(int));

for (i=0; i<n; i++)
ptr[i] = i;

**★** Cause illegal memory access if the allocation failed


26

### **Detecting Memory Errors**

- ♦ MFC DLL
- ♦ VC++ Runtime Support
- ♦ Electric Fence
- $\diamond$  wpr
- ⇒ stack guard
- ⇒ gcc (a version of it)
- ♦ object counts
- ♦ Memory checking API
- ♦ Valgrind on Ubuntu

# Using MFC DLL

- #include <afx.h> in all your source files (at least the file
   that contains main())
- ♦ Using new/delete instead of malloc/free



# Using MFC DLL

```
♦ Source
       #include <afx.h>
       void main() {
         int *ptr;
         ptr = new int[100];
         ptr[0] = 1;
♦ Sample error messages
       Detected memory leaks!
       Dumping objects ->
       {45} normal block at 0x003426C0, 400 bytes long.
                      > 01 00 00 00 CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD
       Object dump complete.
```

# VC Runtime Leakage Detection (1/5)

```
→ memory_leak.h

                                  Step1: Initially set to zero, such that
                                         the memory manager would
                                         not break at any allocation.
                                  Step2: set to a desired leakage object
#ifndef MEMORY_LEAK_H
                                         number so that the program
#define MEMORY LEAK H
                                         breaks at the allocation of that
   /* 1 to test for memory leaks */
                                         object (you can identify which
   #define TEST MEM LEAKS
   #ifdef TEST MEM LEAKS
                                         object is leaked in this way)
       /* allocation # at which to break */
       #define TEST MEM LEAKS BREAK NUM 0
       /* 1 to break at an allocation*/
       #define TEST MEM LEAKS BREAK 1
       void set initial leak test();
   #endif
#endif
                                                                     30
```

## VC Runtime Leakage Detection (2/5)

29

31

```
→ memory leak.cpp

#include "memory leak.h"
#include <stdio.h>
#include <crtdbg.h>
void set initial leak test(){
  int tmpFlag;
  /* set flag to automatically report memory leaks at image exit */
  printf("\n[Leak test being performed]\n");
  tmpFlag = CrtSetDbgFlag( CRTDBG REPORT FLAG);
```

# VC Runtime Leakage Detection (3/5)

```
♦ In your program:
   Step 1: #include "memory leak.h"
   Step 2: call set_initial_leak_test() at the start of main()
   Step 3: #define TEST MEM LEAKS BREAK NUM 0
   Step 4: compile your program, run your program
   Step 5: observe the leakage report, ex.
                                              cl/MLd/Zi...
      [Leak test being performed]
      Detected memory leaks!
     Dumping objects ->
      {103} normal block at 0x009C6108, 10 bytes long.
                 > CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD
      Data: <
      Object dump complete.
   Step 6: #define TEST MEM LEAKS BREAK NUM 103
```

### VC Runtime Leakage Detection (4/5)

Step 7: compile your program, run your program again Step 8: your program should now break at the allocation of that specified object. If you start the debugger



you can use call stack to see where your program allocates the leaked storage.

33

# Memory Checking Win 32 API

```
#include <windows.h> // or #include <afx.h>
void mem() {
  MEMORYSTATUS stat:
  GlobalMemoryStatus(&stat);
  printf ("%ld percent of memory is in use.\n",
           stat.dwMemoryLoad);
  printf("TotalPhys=%d\n",
           stat.dwTotalPhys, stat.dwAvailPhys);
  printf("TotalVirtual=%d AvailVirtual=%d\n",
           stat.dwTotalVirtual, stat.dwAvailVirtual);
```

## VC Runtime Leakage Detection (5/5)

Step 9: If you don't start the debugger, you will observe the leakage report

[Leak test being performed]

Detected memory leaks!

Dumping objects ->

{102} normal block at 0x009C60D0, 10 bytes long.

> CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD Data: <

{64} normal block at 0x009C2C80, 10 bytes long.

> CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD CD Data: <

{63} normal block at 0x009C2C48, 10 bytes long.

> CD Data: <

Object dump complete.

Press any key to continue

34

### DO NOT BE A NUISANCE!!

- ♦ Naturally you don't want to be a TROUBLE in a group
- ♦ If everybody knows that you are a trouble, everybody can get used to it through some kinds of accommodation.
- ♦ Sometime, it is even worse that you are a trouble but you don't know it.
- ♦ Having a programmer in a software team that ABUSE the memory in any of the previously listed ways is painful.
- ♦ The biggest problem is that he is completely unaware of his blunder because the errors most likely do not show up immediately and he keeps generating bugs and even accusing others for the bugs.

### Some C++ Memory Errors

- Unmatched new/new[] and delete/delete[]
- ♦ Pointer type coercion might change the values of stuct
- ♦ Allocating memory for data members without designing copy constructor, assignment operator, and destructor.
- ♦ Missing virtual destructor in the base class.
- ♦ Incorrect down cast

## **Implementing Object Counts**

♦ Sometimes, without the help of tools, you would like to monitor at run time whether your program has any unreleased objects and avoid memory leakages from the ground up.

```
♦ Implement with class variable
                                     MyClass::MyClass() {
      class MyClass {
                                        objectCounts++;
      public:
                                     MyClass::~MyClass() {
         MyClass();
         ~MyClass();
                                        objectCounts--;
         static void printCounts();
                                     void MyClass::printCounts() {
      private:
         static int objectCounts;
                                       cout << "Class MyClass "
                                               "active objects: "
       };
                                            << objectCounts << endl;
      int MyClass::objectCounts=0; \}
```